

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,389	01/08/2001		Frank Addante	41411/DJS/L380	6110
23838	7590	03/31/2006	•	EXAMINER	
KENYON			DURAN, ARTHUR D		
	1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700				PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC	20005	3622		

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Off: A. C O	09/757,389	ADDANTE, FRANK						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Arthur Duran	3622						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2006.							
,	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/757,389

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 have been examined.

2. The Appeal Brief filed on 1/23/2006 was found to be persuasive. A new Office Action has been issued and is stated below.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a content server presenting content criteria, classified in class 705/14.
 - II. Claims 13-24, drawn to an end user computer providing content criteria, classified in class 705/14.

Inventions I and II are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j).

In the instant case, the preambles of the different inventions present different characterizing features for the servers and computers claimed. And, most importantly, the utilization of the content server and end user computer are different in the two inventions as these different and distinct entities present the creative selection criteria to the direct connect server in the two different inventions. The content server presents creative selection criteria to the direct connect server in independent claim 1 while, instead, the end user computer presents

creative selection criteria to the direct connect server in independent claim 13. Also, note that the end user computer and content server are not just different terms from claim 1 to claim 13. Rather, the direct connect server, content server, and end user computer are present in both claims 1 and 13. And, the different source for the presentation of the creative selection criteria to the direct connect server creates two different and distinct inventions. Hence, there are two different and distinct inventions, Invention I and Invention II.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Bradley J. Meier (Reg. No. 43,236) at (202) 220-4200 on 3/28/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Duran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 8:00-4:00.

Art Unit: 3622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur Duran

Primary Examiner

toluna

3/29/2006